Judicial Candidate Poll Results "October 2022"

The following is the Cincinnati Bar Association's 2022 Hamilton County Judicial Candidate Poll. The survey was distributed to 2744 members of the Cincinnati legal community and provides valuable insights and observations about the local judicial candidates from members of the legal community that interact with them professionally.

			Administrative		Communication		Community		Integrity		Legal		Professionalism /	
			Dilige Responded	nce %	Skills Responded	%	Engagem Responded	ent %	Impartiality / Responded	Objectivity %	Experien Responded	ice %	Temperam Responded	nent %
Court of Appea	ls Cand	idates	Поорониои	70	пооронион	,,,	Hoopondod	,,	Hooponada	,,,	Hoopondod	70	тоорониои	
Jennifer Kinsley					0.1		00	/			00	/		
Total Responses	434	Excellent Above AVG	47 15	45% 14%	64 19	61% 18%	62 19	60% 18%	54 11	51% 11%	68 12	65% 11%	61 14	59% 13%
With Contact % With Contact	104 24%	Above AVG Average	8	8%	10	10%	19	4%	11	11%	11	11%	11	11%
No Contact	330	Below AVG	10	10%	11	11%	3	3%	21	20%	10	10%	16	15%
% No Contact	76%	Unknown	24	23%	0	0%	16	15%	7	7%	3	3%	2	2%
Robert C. Winkler														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	117	58%	118	59%	88	44%	134	67%	135	67%	149	74%
With Contact	199	Above AVG	52	26%	53	26%	36	18%	32	16%	46	23%	35	17%
% With Contact	46%	Average	17	9%	22	11%	23	12%	23	12%	13	7%	13	7%
No Contact % No Contact	235 54%	Below AVG Unknown	2 11	1% 6%	5	1% 3%	4 48	2% 24%	4 6	2% 3%	3	1% 2%	1 1	1% 1%
70 TTO GOTTAGO	0170	CHAROWII		0,0		0,0		2170		0,0		270	<u>l</u>	
Domestic Relation	ons Can	didates							•				1	
Anne B. Flottman Total Responses	434	Excellent	68	56%	85	70%	75	62%	75	63%	92	76%	81	67%
With Contact	120	Above AVG	18	15%	18	15%	21	18%	23	19%	12	10%	16	13%
% With Contact	28%	Average	11	9%	8	7%	10	8%	4	3%	5	4%	6	5%
No Contact	314	Below AVG	3	3%	9	8%	2	2%	11	9%	9	8%	15	13%
% No Contact	72%	Unknown	20	17%	0	0%	12	10%	7	6%	2	2%	2	2%
Manisha B. Kotian														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	29	50%	37	65%	35	61%	44	77%	37	65%	42	73%
With Contact	57	Above AVG	10	18%	11	19%	5	9%	5	9%	12	21%	5	9%
% With Contact	13%	Average		4%	6	11%	3	5%	4	7%	4	7%	3	5%
No Contact % No Contact	377 86%	Below AVG Unknown	3 13	5% 23%	3	5% 0%	3 11	5% 20%	3	5% 2%	3	5% 2%	5 2	9% 4%
70 110 Contact	0070	OTIKITOWIT		2070		070		2070		270		270		170
Jon H. Sieve														
Total Responses	434	Excellent		38%	34 19	33%	21	20%	38	37%	39 28	38%	40 22	39%
With Contact % With Contact	103 24%	Above AVG Average	18 24	17% 23%	30	18% 29%	21 15	20% 15%	25 23	24% 22%	18	27% 17%	32	21% 31%
No Contact	331	Below AVG	16	16%	18	18%	19	19%	12	12%	16	16%	7	7%
% No Contact	76%	Unknown	6	6%	2	2%	27	26%	5	5%	2	2%	2	2%
Elizabeth A. Sunderma	nnn l													
Total Responses	434	Excellent	48	31%	57	37%	59	38%	63	41%	48	31%	59	38%
With Contact	154	Above AVG	31	20%	36	23%	44	29%	27	18%	33	21%	32	21%
% With Contact	35%	Average	48	31%	40	26%	25	16%	30	19%	43	28%	29	19%
No Contact	280	Below AVG	16	11%	19	12%	6	4%	28	18%	28	18%	33	21%
% No Contact	64%	Unknown	11	7%	2	2%	20	13%	6	4%	2	2%	1	1%
Common P	leas Cou	ırt												
Thomas O. Beridon														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	137	56%	146	60%	131	54%	152	62%	134	55%	164	67%
With Contact % With Contact	244 56%	Above AVG	51 32	21% 13%	64 23	26% 9%	35 16	14% 7%	46 20	19% 8%	56 30	23% 12%	41 25	17% 10%
% With Contact	190	Average Below AVG	9	4%	10	4%	5	2%	17	7%	12	5%	12	5%
% No Contact		Unknown	15	6%	1	1%	57	23%	9	4%	12	5%	2	1%
Detriek T. Dinkslas Land									I				1	
Patrick T. Dinkelacker Total Responses	434	Excellent	144	62%	144	62%	119	51%	141	61%	167	72%	153	66%
With Contact	232	Above AVG	46	20%	52	22%	39	17%	30	13%	37	16%	40	17%
% With Contact	53%	Average	26	11%	28	12%	17	7%	31	13%	23	10%	26	11%
No Contact	202	Below AVG		3%	8	4%	9	4%	27	12%	4	2%	13	6%
% No Contact	46%	Unknown	9	4%	0	0%	48	21%	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%
Thomas D. Heekin, Jr.														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	92	49%	99	52%	61	32%	118	62%	117	62%	118	62%
With Contact	188	Above AVG	49	26%	50	27%	35	19%	39	21%	36	19%	41	22%
% With Contact No Contact	43% 246	Average Below AVG	29 13	15% 7%	31 7	16% 4%	29 8	15% 5%	21 7	11% 4%	25 6	13% 4%	24 4	13% 2%
% No Contact	56%	Unknown	5	3%	1	1%	55	29%	3	2%	4	2%	1	1%

Judicial Candidate Poll Results "October 2022"

						- 0101								
			Administrative Communication		cation	Community		Integrity		Legal		Professionalism /		
			Dilige	Diligence Skills		3	Engagem	ent	Impartiality / Objectivity		Experience		Temperament	
			Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%
Common Pleas	Court (cont.)												
Charles J. Kubicki, Jr.														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	32	21%	34	23%	20	13%	36	24%	49	32%	37	25%
With Contact	151	Above AVG	29	19%	28	19%	16	11%	37	25%	37	25%	31	21%
% With Contact	35%	Average	37	25%	50	33%	35	23%	44	29%	39	26%	49	32%
No Contact	283	Below AVG	45	30%	37	24%	29	19%	32	21%	21	14%	31	20%
% No Contact	65%	Unknown	8	5%	2	1%	51	34%	2	1%	5	3%	3	2%
Jody M. Luebbers														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	155	65%	158	66%	138	58%	162	68%	162	68%	150	63%
With Contact	238	Above AVG	52	22%	50	21%	40	17%	42	18%	47	19%	45	19%
% With Contact	55%	Average	15	6%	23	10%	11	5%	15	6%	18	8%	19	8%
No Contact	196	Below AVG	9	4%	6	3%	3	1%	16	7%	6	3%	21	9%
% No Contact	45%	Unknown	7	3%	1	0%	46	19%	3	1%	5	2%	3	1%
		_												
Christopher R. McDov														
Total Responses	434	Excellent		51%	22	45%	18	37%	22	45%	27	55%	22	45%
With Contact	49	Above AVG	5	10%	8	16%	6	12%	8	16%	8	16%	8	16%
% With Contact	11%	Average	9	18%	17	35%	8	16%	11	22%	11	22%	10	20%
No Contact	385	Below AVG	2	5%	2	4%	5	11%	5	10%	2	4%	7	14%
% No Contact	88%	Unknown	8	16%	0	0%	12	24%	3	7%	1	3%	2	5%
		-											1	
R. Bernard Mundy														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	31	38%	53	65%	32	39%	52	64%	51	63%	64	79%
With Contact	81	Above AVG	15	18%	15	19%	11	14%	11	14%	17	21%	7	8%
% With Contact	19%	Average		15%	9	11%	10	12%	9	11%	6	7%	4	5%
No Contact	353	Below AVG	3	4%	3	4%	7	9%	3	4%	4	5%	3	4%
% No Contact	81%	Unknown	20	25%	1	1%	21	26%	6	7%	3	4%	3	4%
		-												
Megan E. Shanahan														
Total Responses	434	Excellent		65%	110	64%	80	47%	109	63%	105	61%	119	69%
With Contact	172	Above AVG	40	23%	42	24%	28	16%	34	20%	46	27%	35	20%
% With Contact	40%	Average	12	7%	15	9%	13	8%	17	10%	12	7%	10	6%
No Contact	262	Below AVG	_	3%	2	1%	4	2%	11	6%	3	2%	7	4%
% No Contact	60%	Unknown	4	2%	3	2%	47	27%	1	1%	6	3%	1	1%
Juvenile	Court	_												
Stacey DeGraffenreid														
Total Responses	434	Excellent		45%	39	53%	30	41%	37	51%	39	53%	47	64%
With Contact	73	Above AVG	20	27%	23	32%	17	23%	21	29%	18	25%	17	23%
% With Contact	17%	Average	8	11%	7	10%	8	11%	7	10%	9	12%	5	7%
No Contact	361	Below AVG	3	5%	4	5%	4	6%	4	5%	3	5%	2	3%
% No Contact	83%	Unknown	9	12%	0	0%	14	19%	4	5%	4	5%	2	3%
Rickell Howard Smith														
Total Responses	434	Excellent	30	52%	45	78%	45	78%	38	66%	40	70%	39	68%
With Contact	57	Above AVG	8	14%	2	4%	5	9%	8	14%	7	12%	6	11%
% With Contact	13%	Average	5	9%	5	9%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%	3	5%
No Contact	377	Below AVG	4	7%	5	9%	4	7%	8	14%	8	14%	8	14%
% No Contact	86%	Unknown	10	18%	0	0%	1	2%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%
														_

Margin of Error = +/- 4%