Judicial Candidate Poll Results
2025

The following is the Cincinnati Bar Association's **2025** Hamilton County Judicial Candidate Poll. The survey was distributed to 2700 members of the Cincinnati legal community and provides valuable insights and observations about the local judicial candidates from members of the legal community that interact with them professionally.

			Administrative Diligence		Communication Skills		Community Engagement		Integrity Impartiality / Objectivity		Legal Experience		Professionalism / Temperament	
			Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%	Responded	%
District 1														
Dwane Mallory														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	37	49%	39	52%	27	36%	38	51%	48	64%	41	55%
With Contact	75	Above AVG	23	31%	21	28%	10	13%	12	16%	16	21%	19	25%
% With Contact	45%	Average	12	16%	11	15%	12	16%	16	21%	10	13%	10	13%
No Contact	91	Below AVG	1	1%	3	4%	4	5%	9	12%	1	1%	5	7%
% No Contact	55%	Unknown	2	3%	1	1%	22	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District 2														
Rodney J. Harris														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	15	28%	33	62%	21	40%	26	49%	35	66%	33	62%
With Contact	53	Above AVG	15	28%	10	19%	10	19%	13	25%	13	25%	10	19%
% With Contact	32%	Average	2	4%	5	9%	3	6%	2	4%	4	8%	1	2%
No Contact	113	Below AVG	2	4%	3	6%	4	8%	3	6%	0	0%	4	8%
% No Contact	68%	Unknown	19	36%	2	4%	15	28%	9	17%	1	2%	5	9%
District 3														
Bernard Mundy														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	25	37%	40	60%	34	51%	41	61%	48	72%	49	73%
With Contact	67	Above AVG	15	22%	22	33%	9	13%	16	24%	12	18%	12	18%
% With Contact	40%	Average	7	10%	3	4%	5	7%	4	6%	4	6%	3	4%
No Contact	99	Below AVG	4	6%	2	3%	4	6%	2	3%	1	1%	2	3%
% No Contact	60%	Unknown	16	24%	0	0%	15	22%	4	6%	2	3%	1	1%
District 4														
Josh Berkowitz														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	48	52%	39	42%	38	41%	37	40%	45	49%	37	40%
With Contact	92	Above AVG	15	16%	13	14%	6	7%	14	15%	19	21%	6	7%
% With Contact	55%	Average	18	20%	22	24%	11	12%	10	11%	21	23%	17	18%
No Contact	74	Below AVG	8	9%	18	20%	16	17%	31	34%	7	8%	32	35%
% No Contact	45%	Unknown	3	3%	0	0%	21	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
District 4														
Danielle Cary Colliver														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	34	54%	47	75%	32	51%	38	60%	48	76%	44	70%
With Contact	63	Above AVG	8	13%	10	16%	9	14%	14	22%	7	11%	10	16%
% With Contact	38%	Average	4	6%	5	8%	8	13%	3	5%	6	10%	4	6%
No Contact	103	Below AVG	2	3%	1	2%	4	6%	5	8%	2	3%	5	8%
% No Contact	62%	Unknown	15	24%	0	0%	10	16%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%
District 5														
Athena E. Stefanou														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	35	73%	37	77%	29	60%	38	79%	31	65%	39	81%
With Contact	48	Above AVG	6	13%	7	15%	7	15%	5	10%	10	21%	3	6%
% With Contact	29%	Average	3	6%	2	4%	2	4%	1	2%	4	8%	4	8%
No Contact	118	Below AVG	1	2%	1	2%	2	4%	2	4%	2	4%	1	2%
% No Contact	71%	Unknown	3	6%	1	2%	8	17%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%
District 5														
Betsy Sundermann														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	22	29%	23	31%	21	28%	26	35%	26	35%	25	33%
With Contact	75	Above AVG	8	11%	13	17%	6	8%	6	8%	14	19%	8	11%
% With Contact	45%	Average	13	17%	15	20%	9	12%	10	13%	9	12%	10	13%
No Contact	91	Below AVG	26	35%	23	31%	16	21%	29	39%	24	32%	31	41%
% No Contact	55%	Unknown	6	8%	1	1%	23	31%	4	5%	2	3%	1	1%
District 6														
Mike Peck														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	30	42%	30	42%	18	25%	29	41%	32	45%	28	39%
With Contact	71	Above AVG	8	11%	15	21%	3	4%	6	8%	11	15%	16	23%
% With Contact	43%	Average	21	30%	19	27%	11	15%	13	18%	17	24%	20	28%
No Contact	95	Below AVG	8	11%	6	8%	11	15%	23	32%	9	13%	7	10%
% No Contact	57%	Unknown	4	6%	1	1%	28	39%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%
District 7														
Gwen Bender														
Total Responses	166	Excellent	56	74%	53	70%	23	30%	40	53%	52	68%	42	55%
With Contact	76	Above AVG	14	18%	16	21%	9	12%	10	13%	14	18%	14	18%
% With Contact	46%	Average	5	7%	7	9%	10	13%	16	21%	9	12%	17	22%
No Contact	90	Below AVG	1	1%	0	0%	3	4%	10	13%	0	0%	3	4%
% No Contact	54%	Unknown	0	0%	0	0%	31	41%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%

Margin of Error = +/- 4%